EXACTNESS IN THE WKB APPROXIMATION FOR SOME HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

Kunio FUNAHASHI, Taro KASHIWA, Seiji SAKODA

Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812, Japan

and

Kazuyuki FUJII

Department of Mathematics,
Yokohama City University, Yokohama 236, Japan
February 1, 2008

Abstract

Analysis of the WKB exactness in some homogeneous spaces is attempted. CP^N as well as its noncompact counterpart $D_{N,1}$ is studied. U(N+1) or U(N,1) based on the Schwinger bosons leads us to CP^N or $D_{N,1}$ path integral expression for the quantity, $\operatorname{tr} e^{-iHT}$, with the aid of coherent states. The WKB approximation terminates in the leading order and yields the exact result provided that the Hamiltonian is given by a bilinear form of the creation and the annihilation operators. An argument on the WKB exactness to more general cases is also made.

1. Introduction

The WKB approximation as the saddle point method in path integral seems most handy and popular. However when the exponent (action), under the path integral formula, consists of quadratic forms, to wit, gives a Gaussian integral, it results in an exact answer: the harmonic oscillator is the only example so far. A new possibility, inspired by the theorem of Duistermaat-Heckman[1, 2], has recently opened up: quantum mechanical system on non-trivial manifolds, such as CP^1 , CP^N , and Grassmannian, have been attacked[3, 4] and seem to possess this property. The discussions are based on classical as well as geometrical actions in path integral as a direct consequence of (naive) use of coherent states to convert operators into c-numbers[5, 6]: an approximation has been employed that $\langle g|g'\rangle \sim 1 + \langle g|\delta g\rangle \sim \exp\langle g|\delta g\rangle$ where $|g\rangle$ is some (generalized) coherent state and g' is assumed that $g' = g + \delta g$, $\delta g \ll 1$. However this cannot be legitimate under path integral since g and g' are the integration variables. After adopting this approximation, it must be noted that the resultant action has already been semiclassical.

With these in mind we discussed CP^1 , SU(2)-spin system, as well as its noncompact counterpart SU(1,1) in the foregoing paper[7] to confirm that the expectation does hold indeed. We here extend the survey to CP^N and its noncompact counterpart $D_{N,1}$ to establish the exactness of the WKB approximation.

The plan of this paper is as follows: in section 2, a brief introduction to the generalized coherent states [8, 9, 10] based on the Schwinger bosons is given to set up the trace formula of \mathbb{CP}^N system. In sections 3 and 4, the WKB approximation is explicitly performed to confirm that there is no higher order corrections. The subsequent section 5 is devoted to analyze that the result obtained through the WKB is indeed exact. The case for a non-compact manifold is picked up in section 6. The final section 7 is the discussion where the reason of the exactness is clarified to open the possibility to more general cases.

2. Coherent States and The Trace Formula

We construct the coherent state of \mathbb{CP}^N system, in terms of Schwinger boson formalism [11]. First we consider the system which consists of N+1 harmonic oscillators. The commutation relations are

$$\left[a_{\alpha}, a_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right] = \delta_{\alpha\beta} , \left[a_{\alpha}, a_{\beta}\right] = \left[a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}, a_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right] = 0 , \left(\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, N+1\right) , \tag{2.1}$$

and the Fock space is

$$\{|n_1, \dots, n_{N+1}\rangle\} , (n_\alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{ with } \alpha = 1, \dots, N+1) ,$$

$$|n_1, \dots, n_{N+1}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1! \dots n_{N+1}!}} \left(a_1^{\dagger}\right)^{n_1} \dots \left(a_{N+1}^{\dagger}\right)^{n_{N+1}} |0\rangle ,$$

$$a_\alpha |0\rangle = 0 . \tag{2.2}$$

By putting

$$E_{\alpha\beta} = a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta} , (\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, N+1) ,$$
 (2.3)

u(N+1) algebra is realized

$$[E_{\alpha\beta}, E_{\gamma\delta}] = \delta_{\beta\gamma} E_{\alpha\delta} - \delta_{\delta\alpha} E_{\gamma\beta} , (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta = 1, \dots, N+1) . \tag{2.4}$$

Introducing the projection operator

$$P_Q \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda \left(\mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \mathbf{a} - Q\right)} , \qquad (2.5)$$

with

$$\mathbf{a} \equiv (a_1, \cdots, a_{N+1})^T , \qquad (2.6)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$P_Q = \sum_{\|n\|=Q} |n_1, \dots, n_{N+1}\rangle \langle n_1, \dots, n_{N+1}| , \qquad (2.7)$$

where ||n|| = Q designates $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} n_{\alpha} = Q$.

With the aid of the resolution of unity in terms of the canonical coherent state [8]

$$\int \frac{\left[d\mathbf{z}^{\dagger} d\mathbf{z}\right]^{N+1}}{\pi^{N+1}} |\mathbf{z}\rangle \langle \mathbf{z}| = \mathbf{1} \left(= \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{n_{N+1}=0}^{\infty} |n_1, \cdots, n_{N+1}\rangle \langle n_1, \cdots, n_{N+1}| \right) , \qquad (2.8)$$

where

$$|\mathbf{z}\rangle \equiv e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}^{\dagger}\mathbf{z}}e^{\mathbf{a}^{\dagger}\mathbf{z}}|0\rangle , \mathbf{z} \equiv (z^1, \cdots, z^N, z^{N+1})^T \in \mathbf{C}^{N+1} ,$$
 (2.9)

and

$$\left[d\mathbf{z}^{\dagger}d\mathbf{z}\right]^{N+1} \equiv \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} dz^{\alpha*} dz^{\alpha} , \qquad (2.10)$$

 P_Q becomes such that

$$P_{Q} = \int \frac{\left[d\mathbf{z}^{\dagger}d\mathbf{z}\right]^{N+1}}{\pi^{N+1}} |\mathbf{z}\rangle\langle\mathbf{z}| P_{Q}$$

$$= \int \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{d\xi^{\alpha*}d\xi^{\alpha}}{\pi} \frac{|\zeta|^{2N} d\zeta^{*}d\zeta}{\pi \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{N+1}} e^{-|\zeta|^{2}}$$

$$\times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\|m\|=n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{m}!}} \left(\frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\xi}}}\right)^{n} \left(\xi^{1}\right)^{m_{1}} \cdots \left(\xi^{N}\right)^{m_{N}} |m_{1}, \cdots, m_{N+1}\rangle$$

$$\times \sum_{\|l\|=Q} \langle l_{1}, \cdots, l_{N+1}| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{l}!}} \left(\frac{\zeta^{*}}{\sqrt{1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\xi}}}\right)^{Q} \left(\xi^{1*}\right)^{l_{1}} \cdots \left(\xi^{N*}\right)^{l_{N}}, \qquad (2.11)$$

where use has been made of a change of variables

$$\begin{pmatrix} z^{1} \\ \vdots \\ z^{N} \\ z^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} = z^{N+1} \begin{pmatrix} z^{1}/z^{N+1} \\ \vdots \\ z^{N}/z^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\xi}}} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{1} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}^{N} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \zeta \equiv \sqrt{\mathbf{z}^{\dagger}\mathbf{z}}, \tag{2.12}$$

with the assumption that $z^{N+1} \neq 0$ to the second line and the abbreviation

$$\boldsymbol{m}! \equiv m_1! \cdots m_{N+1}! , \qquad (2.13)$$

and the notation

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} \equiv \left(\xi^1, \dots, \xi^N\right)^T \in \mathbf{C}^N , \qquad (2.14)$$

has been adopted. After the integration with respect to ζ , P_Q can further be cast into the form such that

$$P_{Q} = \int \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{d\xi^{\alpha*} d\xi^{\alpha}}{\pi} \frac{(N+Q)!}{\left(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{N+Q+1}} \sum_{\|\boldsymbol{m}\|=Q} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{m}!}} \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{1}\right)^{m_{1}} \cdots \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{N}\right)^{m_{N}} |m_{1}, \cdots, m_{N+1}\rangle$$

$$\times \sum_{\|\boldsymbol{l}\|=Q} \langle l_{1}, \cdots, l_{N+1}| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{l}!}} \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{1*}\right)^{l_{1}} \cdots \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{N*}\right)^{l_{N}}$$

$$= \int d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}\right) |\boldsymbol{\xi}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}| , \qquad (2.15)$$

where

$$|\boldsymbol{\xi}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{Q/2}} \sum_{\|n\|=Q} \sqrt{\frac{Q!}{\boldsymbol{n}!}} \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{1}\right)^{n_{1}} \cdots \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{N}\right)^{n_{N}} |n_{1}, \cdots, n_{N+1}\rangle , \qquad (2.16)$$

and

$$d\mu\left(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}\right) \equiv \frac{(Q+N)!}{Q!} \frac{\left[d\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}d\boldsymbol{\xi}\right]^{N}}{\pi^{N}\left(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{N+1}},$$
(2.17)

with

$$\left[d\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}d\boldsymbol{\xi}\right]^{N} \equiv \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} d\xi^{\alpha*}d\xi^{\alpha} . \tag{2.18}$$

The inner product of $|\xi\rangle$'s is given by

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\xi} | \boldsymbol{\xi}' \rangle = \frac{\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}' \right)^{Q}}{\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi} \right)^{Q/2} \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}'^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}' \right)^{Q/2}} . \tag{2.19}$$

So far we confine ourselves in the Fock representation which is now dictated in terms of U(N+1) representation: the highest weight vector, defined by

$$E_{N+1,N+1}|Q;N+1\rangle\rangle = Q|Q;N+1\rangle\rangle , E_{N+1,\alpha}|Q;N+1\rangle\rangle = 0 ,$$
 (2.20)

is identified such that

$$|Q; N+1\rangle\rangle \equiv |\stackrel{1}{0}, \cdots, \stackrel{N}{0}, \stackrel{N+1}{Q}\rangle. \tag{2.21}$$

Thus $|\xi\rangle$ can be regarded as the generalized coherent state

$$|\boldsymbol{\xi}\rangle = \frac{1}{\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{Q/2}} e^{\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha} E_{\alpha, N+1}} |Q; N+1\rangle\rangle , \qquad (2.22)$$

because the right-hand side is rewritten as

R.H.S. of (2.22) =
$$\frac{1}{\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{Q/2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \xi^{\alpha} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{N+1} \right)^{n} |Q; N+1\rangle\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{Q/2}} \sum_{\|n\|=Q} \left(\xi^{1} \right)^{n_{1}} \cdots \left(\xi^{N} \right)^{n_{N}} |n_{1}, \cdots, n_{N+1}\rangle , \quad (2.23)$$

where $E_{\alpha,N+1}(E_{N+1,\alpha})$ is the lowering (raising) operator of u(N+1) (2.3) and use has been made of the explicit form (2.4). It should be noted that the change of variables (2.12) corresponds to picking up the highest weight (2.21) (, which can be seen by putting $\boldsymbol{\xi} = 0$ in (2.16)). Another highest weight is also available such that

$$|Q;I\rangle\rangle \equiv |\stackrel{1}{0},\cdots,\stackrel{I-1}{0},\stackrel{I}{Q},\stackrel{I+1}{0},\cdots,\stackrel{N+1}{0}\rangle.$$
 (2.24)

(There are N+1 highest weight vectors in this sense.) With this identification, we can assign the projection operator as the resolution of unity

$$\mathbf{1}_{Q} \equiv P_{Q} = \int d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \right) |\boldsymbol{\xi}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}| . \qquad (2.25)$$

Take a Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} \equiv \mathbf{a}^{\dagger} H \mathbf{a} , \qquad (2.26)$$

with

$$H \equiv \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & & & \\ & c_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & c_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (2.27)$$

where we have assumed that all c's are different from each other. The matrix element is calculated to be

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\xi} | \hat{H} | \boldsymbol{\xi}' \rangle = Q \langle \boldsymbol{\xi} | \boldsymbol{\xi}' \rangle \frac{c_{N+1} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} c_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha *} \boldsymbol{\xi}'^{\alpha}}{1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}'} . \tag{2.28}$$

In the following we concentrate on the quantity,

$$Z \equiv \operatorname{tr}\left(e^{-i\hat{H}T}\right) \equiv \int d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}\right) \left\langle \boldsymbol{\xi} | e^{-i\hat{H}T} | \boldsymbol{\xi} \right\rangle , \qquad (2.29)$$

called the trace formula, which turns out to be

$$Z = \lim_{M \to \infty} \int d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \right) \langle \boldsymbol{\xi} | \left(1 - i\hat{H}\varepsilon \right)^{M} | \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle , \quad \left(\varepsilon \equiv \frac{T}{M} \right)$$

$$= \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{PBC} \prod_{i=1}^{M} d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger} \right) \prod_{k=1}^{M} \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k} | \left(1 - i\hat{H}\varepsilon \right) | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{PBC} \prod_{i=1}^{M} d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger} \right) \prod_{k=1}^{M} \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k} | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \rangle \left(1 - i\varepsilon \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k} | \hat{H} | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \rangle}{\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k} | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \rangle} \right)$$

$$= \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{PBC} \prod_{i=1}^{M} d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger} \right) \prod_{k=1}^{M} \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k} | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \rangle \exp \left(-i\varepsilon \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k} | \hat{H} | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \rangle}{\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k} | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \rangle} \right) , \quad (2.30)$$

where we have used the definition of the exponential function in the first line, and the resolution of unity (2.25) in the second line and discarded

 $O(\varepsilon^2)$ terms in the final expression and PBC (periodic boundary condition) designates

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_0 = \boldsymbol{\xi}_M \; ; \; (PBC) \; . \tag{2.31}$$

exponentiate the inner product $\prod_{k=1}^{M} \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_k | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \rangle$ to find

$$Z = \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{PBC} \prod_{i=1}^{M} d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger} \right) \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(\log \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k} | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \rangle - i \varepsilon \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k} | \hat{H} | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \rangle}{\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k} | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \rangle} \right) \right\}$$

$$= \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{PBC} \prod_{i=1}^{M} d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger} \right) \exp \left[\sum_{k=1}^{M} \left\{ \log \frac{\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \right)^{Q}}{\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \right)^{Q/2}} \right.$$

$$\left. - i \varepsilon Q \frac{c_{N+1} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} c_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha *} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}^{\alpha}}{1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}} \right\} \right]$$

$$= e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{PBC} \prod_{i=1}^{M} d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger} \right) \exp \left[Q \left\{ - \sum_{k=1}^{M} \log \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k} \right) \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{k=1}^{M} \log \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \right) - i \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{M} \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \mu_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha *} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}^{\alpha}}{1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}} \right\} \right], \qquad (2.32)$$

where (2.19) and (2.28) have been applied to give the second line and $\mu_{\alpha} \equiv c_{\alpha} - c_{N+1}$ in the last expression. By noting that $\log(1+x) \simeq x$ and discarding $O(\varepsilon^2)$ terms again, the trace formula (2.29) yields to

$$Z_{N+1} = e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{PBC} \prod_{i=1}^{M} d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger}\right) \exp\left[Q\left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{M} \log\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}\right)\right\} + \sum_{k=1}^{M} \log\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{M} \log\left(1 - i\varepsilon\frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \mu_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha*} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}^{\alpha}}{1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}}\right)\right\}, \quad (2.33)$$

which is further rewritten again by use of the rule of discarding $O(\varepsilon^2)$ terms to

$$Z_{N+1} = e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{\text{PBC}} \prod_{i=1}^{M} d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger}\right)$$

$$\times \exp \left[Q\left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{M} \log\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{M} \log\left(1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha*} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}^{\alpha}\right)\right\}\right] .(2.34)$$

Here we have written Z_{N+1} for Z to emphasize the subscript of c_{N+1} .

As was mentioned before, a change of variables (2.12) corresponds to choosing out the

highest weight $|Q; N+1\rangle$. If we make another transformation such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} z^{1} \\ \vdots \\ z^{I} \\ \vdots \\ z^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} = z^{I} \begin{pmatrix} z^{1}/z^{I} \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ z^{N+1}/z^{I} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{1 + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\eta}}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{1} \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ \eta^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (2.35)$$

with $z^I \neq 0$, we obtain

$$|\eta\rangle = \frac{1}{(1+\eta^{\dagger}\eta)^{Q/2}} \sum_{\|n\|=Q} \sqrt{\frac{Q!}{n!}} \times (\eta^{1})^{n_{1}} \cdots (\eta^{I-1})^{n_{I-1}} (\eta^{I+1})^{n_{I+1}} \cdots (\eta^{N+1})^{n_{N+1}} |n_{1}, \dots, n_{N+1}\rangle , \quad (2.36)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} \equiv \left(\eta^1, \dots, \eta^{I-1}, \eta^{I+1}, \dots, \eta^{N+1}\right)^T , \qquad (2.37)$$

whose highest weight is (2.24), instead of $|Q; N+1\rangle\rangle$ (2.21). The resolution of unity

$$\int d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\dagger} \right) |\boldsymbol{\eta}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}| = \mathbf{1}_{Q} , \qquad (2.38)$$

is satisfied. The trace formula under this coordinate is

$$Z_{I} = e^{-iQc_{I}T} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{PBC} \prod_{i=1}^{M} \frac{(Q+N)!}{Q!} \frac{\left[d\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}^{\dagger}d\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}\right]^{N}}{\pi^{N} \left(1+\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}\right)^{N+1}}$$

$$\times \exp\left[Q\left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{M} \log\left(1+\boldsymbol{\eta}_{k}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\eta}_{k}\right)\right.\right.$$

$$\left.+\sum_{k=1}^{M} \log\left\{1+e^{-i\varepsilon(c_{N+1}-c_{I})} \eta_{k}^{I*} \eta_{k-1}^{I}+\sum_{\alpha \neq I} e^{-i\varepsilon(c_{\alpha}-c_{I})} \eta_{k}^{\alpha*} \eta_{k-1}^{\alpha}\right\}\right\}\right], \quad (2.39)$$

where we have added the subscript I to Z. Comparing Z_I with Z_{N+1} ,

$$Z_{N+1} = e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{PBC} \prod_{i=1}^{M} \frac{(Q+N)!}{Q!} \frac{\left[d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger}d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\right]^{N}}{\pi^{N} \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\right)^{N+1}}$$

$$\times \exp\left[Q\left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{M} \log\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{M} \log\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} e^{-i\varepsilon(c_{\alpha} - c_{N+1})} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha*} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}^{\alpha}\right)\right\}\right],$$

$$(2.40)$$

we find that Z_I and Z_{N+1} can be interchanged each other by replacing the subscript $I \leftrightarrow N+1$; which can be understood by the change of variables

$$\eta^{I} = \frac{1}{\xi^{I}}, \quad \eta^{\alpha} = \frac{\xi^{\alpha}}{\xi^{I}} \quad (\alpha \neq I) \quad .$$
(2.41)

3. The WKB Approximation

When Q becomes large in (2.39) or (2.40), the saddle point method is applicable to find

$$\begin{cases}
-\frac{\xi_k^{\alpha}}{1 + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} \xi_k^{\beta^*} \xi_k^{\beta}} + \frac{e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\alpha}} \xi_{k-1}^{\alpha}}{1 + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\beta}} \xi_k^{\beta^*} \xi_{k-1}^{\beta}} = 0, \\
-\frac{\xi_k^{\alpha^*}}{1 + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} \xi_k^{\beta^*} \xi_k^{\beta}} + \frac{e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\alpha}} \xi_{k+1}^{\alpha^*}}{1 + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\beta}} \xi_{k+1}^{\beta^*} \xi_k^{\beta}} = 0,
\end{cases} (3.1)$$

which are designated as the equations of motion. The solutions met with PBC (2.31) are

$$\xi_k^{\alpha} = \xi_k^{\alpha*} = 0$$
, for all α and for all k , (3.2)

or

$$\xi_k^{\alpha} = \xi_k^{\alpha*} = \infty$$
, for some α and for all k . (3.3)

To handle with the latter case, (2.41) can be utilized; since $\xi^I = \infty$ corresponds to $\eta^I = 0$. Thus it is enough to perform a 1/Q expansion around $\xi_k^\alpha = 0$ in (2.40):

$$Z_{N+1} = e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{PBC} \prod_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\left[d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger} d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\right]^{N}}{\pi^{N}}$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\left(Q+N+1\right) \sum_{k=1}^{M} \log\left(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}\right)\right.$$

$$\left. + Q \sum_{k=1}^{M} \log\left(1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha*} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}^{\alpha}\right)\right\}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi})^{N+1}$, in the measure, has been exponentiated. Putting

$$\xi_k^{\alpha} \to \sqrt{\kappa} \xi_k^{\alpha} , \left(\kappa \equiv \frac{1}{Q} \right) ,$$
 (3.5)

and performing a formal expansion of the logarithms, we obtain

$$Z_{N+1}(\kappa) \equiv e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{PBC} \prod_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\left[d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger}d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\right]^{N}}{\pi^{N}} \exp\left[-\sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha*} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha} - e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha*} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}^{\alpha}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n} \kappa^{n} \left[\left\{-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \alpha^{n} + (N+1) \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha*} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha}\right)^{n}\right\} - \frac{n}{n+1} \left\{\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha*} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha}\right)^{n+1} - \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha*} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}^{\alpha}\right)^{n+1}\right\}\right]\right].$$

$$(3.6)$$

As for the leading contribution, set $\kappa = 0$ in (3.6) to find

$$Z_{N+1}(0) = e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T}}.$$
 (3.7)

We must sum up all contributions, that is, all solutions of (3.1) to give

$$Z_{\text{all}}(0) \equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} Z_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \frac{e^{-iQc_{\alpha}T}}{\prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} \left\{ 1 - e^{-i(c_{\beta} - c_{\alpha})T} \right\}} . \tag{3.8}$$

In the following, we prove that there is no further corrections to (3.8):

$$Z_{\text{all}}(\kappa) = Z_{\text{all}}(0) . \tag{3.9}$$

4. Proof of No Corrections

Rewrite (3.6) as

$$Z_{N+1}(\kappa) = e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{PBC} \prod_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\left[d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger}d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\right]^{N}}{\pi^{N}}$$

$$\times \exp\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n} \kappa^{n} \left[\left\{-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \alpha^{n} + (N+1) \left(-\partial_{s_{k}}\right)^{n}\right\}\right]\right]$$

$$-\frac{n}{n+1} \left\{\left(-\partial_{s_{k}}\right)^{n+1} - \left(\partial_{t_{k}}\right)^{n+1}\right\}\right]\right]$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(s_{k} \xi_{k}^{\alpha *} \xi_{k}^{\alpha} - t_{k} e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\alpha}} \xi_{k}^{\alpha *} \xi_{k-1}^{\alpha}\right)\right\}$$

$$= e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \lim_{M \to \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{M} F\left(-\partial_{s_{i}}, \partial_{t_{i}}\right) G\left(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}\right)\Big|_{\{s\}=\{t\}=1}.$$

$$(4.1)$$

Here and hereafter we use the following notations

$$F(x,y) \equiv \exp\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \kappa^n \left\{ -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \alpha^n + (N+1) x^n - \frac{n}{n+1} \left(x^{n+1} - y^{n+1} \right) \right\} \right] , (4.2)$$

$$G(s,t) \equiv \int_0^\infty \prod_{\alpha=1}^N d\tau_\alpha \exp\left\{-\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \left(s - e^{-i\mu_\alpha T} t\right) \tau_\alpha\right\} , \qquad (4.3)$$

$$\{s\} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \{s_1 = 1, \dots, s_M = 1\},$$
 (4.4)

$$\mathbf{s} \equiv s_1 s_2 \cdots s_M \,, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \equiv s_1 \cdots s_{i-1} s_{i+1} \cdots s_M = \prod_{j \neq i} s_j , \qquad (4.6)$$

$$\{\hat{s}\}_i \equiv \{s\} \setminus s_i . \tag{4.7}$$

The next formula plays a central role.

Formula:

$$F\left(-\partial_{s_{i}}, \partial_{t_{i}}\right) G\left(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}\right)|_{s_{i}=t_{i}=1} = G\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i}, \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i}\right) . \tag{4.8}$$

This formula states that an application of $F(-\partial_{s_i}, \partial_{t_i})|_{s_i=t_i=1}$ to $G(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$ erases s_i and t_i from $G(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$. Thus a repeated use of that leads to

$$Z_{N+1}(\kappa) = e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \lim_{M \to \infty} \prod_{j \neq i} F\left(-\partial_{s_{j}}, \partial_{t_{j}}\right) G\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i}, \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i}\right) \Big|_{\{\hat{s}\}_{i} = \{\hat{t}\}_{i} = 1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} F\left(-\partial_{s}, \partial_{t}\right) G\left(s, t\right) \Big|_{s=t=1}$$

$$= e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} G\left(1, 1\right)$$

$$= e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} d\tau \exp\left\{-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left(1 - e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T}\right)\right\}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-iQc_{N+1}T}}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left(1 - e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T}\right)}.$$
(4.9)

In view of (4.9) and (3.7), we can conclude that there are no higher order corrections of κ .

Now we prove the formula (4.8). Performing the derivatives in the left-hand side of (4.8), and putting $s_i = t_i = 1$ we find

$$F(-\partial_{s_{i}}, \partial_{t_{i}}) G(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})|_{s_{i}=t_{i}=1}$$

$$= \exp\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n} \kappa^{n} \left\{-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \alpha^{n} + (N+1) \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \tau_{\alpha}\right)^{n} - \frac{n}{n+1} \left(\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \tau_{\alpha}\right)^{n+1} - \left(e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T} \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \tau_{\alpha}\right)^{n+1}\right)\right\}\right]$$

$$\times \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} d\tau_{\alpha} \exp\left\{-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i} - e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T} \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i}\right) \tau_{\alpha}\right\}. \tag{4.10}$$

The right-hand side can be rewritten in the sense of the asymptotic expansion to give

$$(4.10) \approx \int_0^\infty \prod_{\alpha=1}^N d\tau_\alpha \exp\left\{\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \log\left(1+\kappa\alpha\right) - (N+1)\log\left(1+\kappa\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \tau_\alpha\right)\right\}$$

$$-\frac{1}{\kappa}\log\left(1+\kappa\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\tau_{\alpha}\right)+\frac{1}{\kappa}\log\left(1+\kappa\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T}\tau_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$$

$$=\int_{0}^{\infty}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}d\tau_{\alpha}\left\{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(1+\kappa\alpha\right)\right\}\frac{\left(1+\kappa\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T}\tau_{\alpha}\right)^{1/\kappa}}{\left(1+\kappa\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\tau_{\alpha}\right)^{1/\kappa+N+1}}.$$
(4.11)

Adopt the binomial expansion with respect to the numerator as well as the denominator to find

$$(4.11) = \int_0^\infty \prod_{\alpha=1}^N d\tau_\alpha \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^\infty \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \tau_\alpha \right)^m \left(\hat{\mathbf{t}}_i \sum_{\alpha=1}^N e^{-i\mu_\alpha T} \tau_\alpha \right)^n \times \kappa^{n+m+N} \frac{(-1)^m}{n!m!} \prod_{l=-n+1}^{N+m} \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} + l \right) . \tag{4.12}$$

In order to make the κ -dependence clear, introduce the contour integral such that

$$(4.12) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa^{k}}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w|=1} \frac{dw}{w^{k+1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} d\tau_{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{n! m!} \prod_{l=-n+1}^{N+m} \left(\frac{1}{w} + l\right)$$

$$\times \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \tau_{\alpha}\right)^{m} \left(\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T} \tau_{\alpha}\right)^{n} w^{n+m+N}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \kappa^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} d\tau_{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{n! m!}$$

$$\times \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \tau_{\alpha}\right)^{m} \left(\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T} \tau_{\alpha}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w|=1} \frac{dw}{w^{k+1}} \prod_{l=-n+1}^{N+m} (lw+1) \quad (4.13)$$

By taking into account that

$$\prod_{l=-n+1}^{N+m} (lw+1) = \{(N+m)w+1\} \{(N+m-1)w+1\}
\times \dots \times \{(-n+2)w+1\} \{(-n+1)w+1\}
= 1 + \left(\sum_{\beta=-n+1}^{N+m} \beta\right)w + \left(\sum_{\beta_2=-n+1}^{N+m} \beta_2 \sum_{\beta_1=-n+1}^{\beta_2-1} \beta_1\right)w^2 + \dots
+ \left(\sum_{\beta_k=-n+1}^{N+m} \beta_k \sum_{\beta_{k-1}=-n+1}^{\beta_k-1} \beta_{k-1} \dots \sum_{\beta_1=-n+1}^{\beta_2} \beta_1\right)w^k + \dots
+ \left(\sum_{\beta_{N+m+n-1}=-n+1}^{N+m} \beta_{N+m+n-1} \dots \sum_{\beta_1=-n+1}^{\beta_2} \beta_1\right)w^{N+m+n-1}
+ (N+m)! \delta_{n0}w^{N+m+n},$$
(4.14)

w-integral in (4.13) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w|=1} \frac{dw}{w^{k+1}} \prod_{l=-n+1}^{N+m} (lw+1)$$

$$= \sum_{\beta_k=-n+1}^{N+m} \beta_k \sum_{\beta_{k-1}=-n+1}^{\beta_k-1} \beta_{k-1} \cdots \sum_{\beta_1=-n+1}^{\beta_2-1} \beta_1$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{2k-1} A_l^{(k)}(n) \sum_{\beta=-n+1}^{N+m} \beta^l, \qquad (4.15)$$

where we have performed the summation with respect to β_i ($i = 1 \sim k - 1$) leaving coefficients $A_l^{(k)}(n)$; which emerge in such a way, for example, in k = 1 case:

(The 2nd line of (4.15)) =
$$\sum_{\beta_1 = -n+1}^{N+m} \beta_1$$
, (4.16)

therefore $A_1^{(1)}(n) = 1$, and in k = 2 case:

(The 2nd line of (4.15)) =
$$\sum_{\beta_2 = -n+1}^{N+m} \beta_2 \sum_{\beta_1 = -n+1}^{\beta_2 - 1} \beta_1$$
$$= \sum_{\beta_2 = -n+1}^{N+m} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \beta_2^3 - \frac{1}{2} \beta_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} n (n-1) \beta_2 \right\} . \tag{4.17}$$

Thus

$$A_3^{(2)}(n) = \frac{1}{2}, \ A_2^{(2)}(n) = -\frac{1}{2}, \ A_1^{(2)}(n) = -\frac{1}{2}n(n-1),$$
 (4.18)

but fortunately these explicit forms for general k are not necessary for our purpose. With the aid of the relation,

$$\sum_{\alpha=-n+1}^{N+m} \alpha^{k} = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{k} \sum_{\alpha=-n+1}^{N+m} e^{\alpha t} \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{k} \frac{e^{(N+m+1)t} - e^{-(n-1)t}}{e^{t} - 1} \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{d\eta}{\eta^{k+1}} \frac{e^{(N+m+1)\eta} - e^{-(n-1)\eta}}{e^{\eta} - 1} , \qquad (4.19)$$

we can perform the summation with respect to m in (4.13) as follows:

$$F(-\partial_{s_i}, \partial_{t_i}) G(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})|_{s_i = t_i = 1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i - e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T} \hat{\mathbf{t}}_i\right)}$$

$$+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \kappa^{k} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau_{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{n!m!} \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \tau_{\alpha} \right)^{m} \left(\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T} \tau_{\alpha} \right)^{n}$$

$$\times \sum_{l=1}^{2k-1} A_{l}^{(k)}(n) \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{d\eta}{\eta^{k+1}} \frac{e^{(N+m+1)\eta} - e^{-(n-1)\eta}}{e^{\eta} - 1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i} - e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T} \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i} \right)}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{N} \kappa^{k} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau_{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T} \tau_{\alpha} \right)^{n} \prod_{l=1}^{2k-1} A_{l}^{(k)}(n)$$

$$\times \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{d\eta}{\eta^{k+1}} \frac{1}{e^{\eta} - 1} \left\{ e^{(N+1)\eta} e^{\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i}e^{\eta}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \tau_{\alpha} - e^{-(n-1)\eta} e^{-\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \tau_{\alpha} \right\} , \quad (4.20)$$

where we have separated the 0-th order term from others. If we notice the relation

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} d\tau_{\alpha} \frac{1}{n!} \left(t \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T} \tau_{\alpha} \right)^{n} e^{-s \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \tau_{\alpha}} = \frac{t^{n}}{s^{n+N}} \sum_{\|m_{\alpha}\|=n} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-im_{\alpha}\mu_{\alpha}T} , \qquad (4.21)$$

obtained from the definition of the Gamma function,

$$\int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^{M-1} e^{-\tau} = \Gamma(M) , \qquad (4.22)$$

(4.20) becomes

$$F(-\partial_{s_{i}}, \partial_{t_{i}}) G(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})|_{s_{i}=t_{i}=1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i} - e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T}\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i}\right)}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \kappa^{k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{2k-1} A_{l}^{(n)}(n) \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{d\eta}{\eta^{k+1}} \frac{1}{e^{\eta} - 1}$$

$$\times \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i}^{n} \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i}^{-(n+N)} \sum_{\parallel m_{\alpha} \parallel = n} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-im_{\alpha}\mu_{\alpha}T} \left\{ e^{(N+1)\eta} e^{-(N+n)\eta} - e^{-(n-1)\eta} \right\} , \qquad (4.23)$$

whose second term obviously goes to zero leaving the first term which is expressed as

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i} - e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T}\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i}\right)} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} d\tau_{\alpha} \exp\left\{-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i} - e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T}\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i}\right) \tau_{\alpha}\right\} \\
= G\left(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i}, \hat{\mathbf{t}}_{i}\right) .$$
(4.24)

Therefore the formula has been proved.

5. Exact Calculation

In the previous sections we see that only the leading order term is surviving. Thus the next step is to check whether (3.8) is the correct answer or not. To this end, let us make an exact calculation.

In order to absorb the phase factor $e^{-i\varepsilon\mu\alpha}$ in (2.34), a change of variables

$$\xi_k^{\alpha} \to e^{-ik\varepsilon\mu_{\alpha}} \xi_k^{\alpha} ,$$
 (5.1)

is made to find that the remnant attributes to the boundary term; $\xi_0^{\alpha} = \xi_M^{\alpha} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T}$. The trace formula (2.34) thus becomes

$$Z = e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int \prod_{i=1}^{M} d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger}\right)$$

$$\times \exp \left[-Q \sum_{k=1}^{M} \log \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}\right) + Q \sum_{k=1}^{M} \log \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}\right)\right] \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{0}^{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{M}^{\alpha} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T}}$$

$$= e^{-iQc_{N+1}} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int \prod_{i=1}^{M} d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{M} \frac{\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}\right)^{Q}}{\left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}\right)^{Q/2} \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}\right)^{Q/2}} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{0}^{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{0}^{\alpha}, e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T}}.$$

$$(5.2)$$

In view of the inner product (2.19), (5.2) becomes

$$Z = e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int \prod_{i=1}^{M} d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger} \right) \prod_{k=1}^{M} \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k} | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1} \rangle \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{0}^{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{M}^{\alpha} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T}},$$
 (5.3)

yielding to

$$Z = e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \int d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}\right) \langle \boldsymbol{\xi} | U \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle$$

$$= e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} \frac{(Q+N)!}{Q!\pi^{N}} \int \frac{\left[d\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} d\boldsymbol{\xi}\right]^{N}}{\left(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{N+Q+1}} \left(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} U \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{Q} , \qquad (5.4)$$

where use have been made of the resolution of unity (2.25) and

$$U \equiv \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\mu_1 T} & & & & \\ & e^{-i\mu_2 T} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & e^{-i\mu_N T} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{5.5}$$

Introducing the "polar coordinate"

$$\xi^{\alpha} = \sqrt{u_{\alpha}} e^{i\theta_{\alpha}} , \qquad (5.6)$$

and integrating out the angular parts, we find

$$Z = e^{-iQc_{N+1}T} (Q+N)! \sum_{\|l\|=Q} \frac{1}{l!} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}l_{\alpha}}$$

$$\times \int \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} du_{\alpha} \frac{(u_{1})^{l_{1}} \cdots (u_{N})^{l_{N}}}{(1+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{N})^{N+Q+1}},$$
(5.7)

where l! is given by (2.13) with $l_{N+1} = Q - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} l_{\alpha}$. Paying attention to

$$\int \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} du_{\alpha} \frac{(u_{1})^{l_{1}} \cdots (u_{N})^{l_{N}}}{(1+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{N})^{N+Q+1}}$$

$$= B(l_{N+1}, N+Q+1-(l_{N}+1))$$

$$\times \int du_{1} \cdots du_{N-1} \frac{(u_{1})^{l_{1}} \cdots (u_{N-1})^{l_{N-1}}}{(1+u_{1}+\cdots+u_{N-1})^{N+Q+1-l_{N+1}}}$$

$$= \frac{l!}{(N+Q)!}, \qquad (5.8)$$

with B(p,q) being the beta-function, we see that the trace (5.7) reads

$$Z = \sum_{\|l\|=Q} e^{-iT \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} c_{\alpha} l_{\alpha}} . \tag{5.9}$$

This is the result, however, to compare with that of the WKB approximation of (3.8), there needs a further modification of (5.9):

$$Z = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda Q} \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{l_{N+1}=0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-iT \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} c_{\alpha} l_{\alpha} + i\left(\lambda + i\delta\right) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} l_{\alpha}\right\}, \quad (5.10)$$

where the regularization parameter δ has been introduced to control the infinite series of l_{α} 's. Therefore after taking l_{α} 's sum we find

$$Z = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda Q} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i(c_{\alpha}T - \lambda - i\delta)}}$$

$$= \lim_{\delta \to 0} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^{Q+N} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \frac{1}{z - e^{-ic_{\alpha}T - \delta}}$$

$$= \lim_{\delta \to 0} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \underset{z=e^{-ic_{\alpha}T - \delta}}{\operatorname{Res}} z^{Q+N} \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{1}{z - e^{-ic_{\beta}T - \delta}}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \frac{e^{-iQc_{\alpha}T}}{\prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} \left\{ 1 - e^{-i(c_{\beta} - c_{\alpha})T} \right\}}, \qquad (5.11)$$

where the λ -integral has been transformed into the contour integral in the second line by putting $z = e^{-i\lambda}$. The result thus coincides with that of the WKB approximation (3.8), convincing us that the WKB approximation is exact.

6. Noncompact Case

The noncompact cases can be handled with a similar manner as was the case of su(1,1) discussed in the previous paper [7]. u(N,1) algebra is given by

$$[E_{\alpha\beta}, E_{\gamma\delta}] = \eta_{\beta\gamma} E_{\alpha\delta} - \eta_{\delta\alpha} E_{\gamma\beta} , (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta = 1, \dots, N+1) ,$$

$$\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \operatorname{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1) ,$$
(6.1)

with a subsidiary condition

$$-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} E_{\alpha\alpha} + E_{N+1,N+1} = K , (K = N, N+1, \cdots) .$$
 (6.2)

As before u(N, 1) algebra is realized in terms of the Schwinger boson (2.1), (2.2): E's in (6.1) is

$$E_{\alpha\beta} = a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta} , \qquad E_{N+1,N+1} = a_{N+1}^{\dagger} a_{N+1} + 1 ,$$

$$E_{N+1,\alpha} = a_{N+1} a_{\alpha} , \quad E_{\alpha,N+1} = a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{N+1}^{\dagger} . \tag{6.3}$$

Introducing the projection operator;

$$P_K \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda \left(\mathbf{a}^{\dagger} \eta \mathbf{a} - K + 1\right)} , \qquad (6.4)$$

which can be expressed by

$$P_K = \sum_{\|\hat{n}\|=0}^{\infty} |n_1, \dots, n_N, K - 1 + \|\hat{n}\|\rangle \langle n_1, \dots, n_N, K - 1 + \|\hat{n}\||, \qquad (6.5)$$

where $\|\hat{n}\| \equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} n_{\alpha}$.

Following the same procedure from $(2.11)\sim(2.19)$, we have

$$\mathbf{1}_K = \int d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \right) |\boldsymbol{\xi}\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}| , \qquad (6.6)$$

where

$$D_{N,1} \equiv \left\{ \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbf{C}^{N} | 1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi} > 0 \right\} ,$$

$$|\boldsymbol{\xi}\rangle \equiv \left(1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi} \right)^{K/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\|\hat{m}\|=n} \sqrt{\frac{(n+K-1)!}{(K-1)! \hat{\boldsymbol{m}}!}} \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{1} \right)^{m_{1}} \cdots \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{N} \right)^{m_{N}}$$

$$\times |m_{1}, \cdots, m_{N}, n+K-1\rangle ,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} \equiv \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{N} \right)^{T} \in D_{N,1} ,$$

$$(6.7)$$

and

$$d\mu\left(\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}\right) \equiv \frac{(K-1)!}{(K-1-N)!} \frac{\left[d\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}d\boldsymbol{\xi}\right]^{N}}{\pi^{N}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{N+1}},$$
(6.8)

is the invariant measure on $D_{N,1}$. To derive (6.6) we have adopted a change of variables

$$\begin{pmatrix} z^1 \\ \vdots \\ z^N \\ z^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} = \zeta \begin{pmatrix} \xi^1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi^N \\ \sqrt{1 - \xi^{\dagger} \xi} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{6.9}$$

The inner product of the states is

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\xi} | \boldsymbol{\xi}' \rangle = \frac{\left(1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{K/2} \left(1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}'^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}'\right)^{K/2}}{\left(1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}'\right)^{K}} . \tag{6.10}$$

The Hamiltonian in this case is

$$H = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} c_{\alpha} E_{\alpha\alpha} , \qquad (6.11)$$

whose matrix element is

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\xi} | H | \boldsymbol{\xi}' \rangle = K \langle \boldsymbol{\xi} | \boldsymbol{\xi}' \rangle \frac{c_{N+1} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} c_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha *} \boldsymbol{\xi}'^{\alpha}}{1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}'} . \tag{6.12}$$

The trace formula is

$$Z = e^{-ic_{N+1}KT} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{\text{PBC}} \prod_{i=1}^{M} d\mu \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger}\right) \exp\left[K\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{M} \log\left(1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}\right)\right\} - \sum_{k=1}^{M} \log\left(1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\alpha*} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k-1}^{\alpha}\right)\right\},$$

$$(6.13)$$

where we have put $\mu_{\alpha} \equiv c_{\alpha} + c_{N+1}$.

The expansion parameter in this case is 1/K. The equations of motion

$$\begin{cases}
-\frac{\xi_k^{\alpha}}{1 - \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} \xi_k^{\beta^*} \xi_k^{\beta}} + \frac{e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\alpha}} \xi_{k-1}^{\alpha}}{1 - \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\beta}} \xi_k^{\beta^*} \xi_{k-1}^{\beta}} = 0 \\
-\frac{\xi_k^{\alpha^*}}{1 - \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} \xi_k^{\beta^*} \xi_k^{\beta}} + \frac{e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\alpha}} \xi_{k+1}^{\alpha^*}}{1 - \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\beta}} \xi_{k+1}^{\beta^*} \xi_k^{\beta}} = 0 ,
\end{cases} (6.14)$$

have the solutions

$$\xi_k^{\alpha} = \xi_k^{\alpha*} = 0$$
 for all k and for all α , (6.15)

because the integration domain, $D_{N,1}$ does not contain ∞ .

Put

$$\xi_k^{\alpha} \to \sqrt{\kappa} \xi_k^{\alpha} \ , \ \left(\kappa \equiv \frac{1}{K}\right) \ ,$$
 (6.16)

to give

$$Z = e^{-ic_{N+1}KT} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int \prod_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\left[d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\dagger} d\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i} \right]^{N}}{\pi^{N}}$$

$$\times \exp \left[-\sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \xi_{k}^{\alpha *} \xi_{k}^{\alpha} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\alpha}} \xi_{k}^{\alpha *} \xi_{k-1}^{\alpha} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{\kappa^{n}}{n} \left[-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \alpha^{n} + (N+1) \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \xi_{k}^{\alpha *} \xi_{k}^{\alpha} \right)^{n} - \frac{n}{n+1} \left\{ \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \xi_{k}^{\alpha *} \xi_{k}^{\alpha} \right)^{n+1} - \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} e^{-i\varepsilon\mu_{\alpha}} \xi_{k}^{\alpha *} \xi_{k}^{\alpha} \right)^{n+1} \right\} \right] \right]. \tag{6.17}$$

Comparing (6.17) with (3.6), we find the correspondence;

$$K \leftrightarrow Q$$
,
$$\kappa \leftrightarrow -\kappa . \tag{6.18}$$

Thus, without any explicit calculation, the WKB approximation is again exact:

$$Z = e^{-ic_{N+1}KT} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\mu_{\alpha}T}}.$$
 (6.19)

7. Discussion

So far the WKB-exactness is shown in $\mathbb{C}P^N$ and $D_{N,1}$. In this section we try to comprehend its significance more seriously. The Duistermaat-Heckman(D-H) theorem [1] starts with that let M be a 2N-dimensional symplectic manifold with the symplectic form ω and a $torus\ T$ which acts on M in a Hamiltonian way; "Hamiltonian action" designates that there is given a linear map

$$X \in \mathbf{t} \mapsto J_X \in C^{\infty}(M)$$
, \mathbf{t} : Lie algebra of T , (7.1)

such that

1. for each $X \in \mathbf{t}$ the infinitesimal action of X on M is generated by the Hamiltonian vector field \tilde{X} of the function J_X , which satisfies

$$dJ_X = -i_{\tilde{X}}\omega . (7.2)$$

- 2. the functions $J_X(X \in \mathbf{t})$ are in involution.
- 3. there exists the momentum mapping of the Hamiltonian T-action. $J: M \mapsto \mathbf{t}^*$, defined by

$$\langle X, J(m) \rangle = J_X(m), m \in M, X \in \mathbf{t}.$$
 (7.3)

It then says

Theorem:

$$\int_{M} \frac{\omega^{N}}{N!} \exp(-\rho J_{X}) = \sum_{m_{c}} \frac{\exp\{-\rho J_{X}(m_{c})\}}{\rho^{N} D(m_{c})} , dH(m_{c}) = 0 , m_{c} \in M ,$$
 (7.4)

where $D(m_c)$ is interpreted as the Gaussian determinant arising from the saddle point approximation at the critical point m_c and ρ is a real parameter.

The first example of the theorem is $(M,T) = (\mathbf{C}^{N+1}, T^{N+1})$, where

$$T^{N+1} \equiv \left\{ t = t \left(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{N+1} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & e^{i\theta_{N+1}} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{N+1} \in \mathbf{R} \right\} . \tag{7.5}$$

The symplectic structure of \mathbb{C}^{N+1} is

$$\omega \equiv i d\mathbf{z}^{\dagger} \wedge d\mathbf{z} , \mathbf{z} \equiv \left(z^{1}, \dots, z^{N+1}\right)^{T} ,$$

$$\left\{z^{\alpha}, z^{\beta^{*}}\right\} = -i \delta^{\alpha\beta} , \left\{z^{\alpha}, z^{\beta}\right\} = \left\{z^{\alpha*}, z^{\beta^{*}}\right\} = 0 .$$

$$(7.6)$$

An action of T^{N+1} on \mathbb{C}^{N+1} is

$$\begin{pmatrix} z^1 \\ \vdots \\ z^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{C}^{N+1} \mapsto t \left(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{N+1} \right) \mathbf{z} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_1} z^1 \\ \vdots \\ e^{i\theta_{N+1}} z^{N+1} \end{pmatrix} , \tag{7.7}$$

and the Hamiltonian action reads

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} i\theta_1 \\ & \ddots \\ & i\theta_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{t} \mapsto J_X \left(\mathbf{z}^{\dagger}, \mathbf{z} \right) = -i \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}^{\dagger} X \right) = -i \mathbf{z}^{\dagger} X \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{R} . \tag{7.8}$$

The infinitesimal action of T^{N+1} is generated by a vector field:

$$\tilde{X} = i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \theta_{\alpha} \left(z^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha}} - z^{\alpha*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\alpha*}} \right) , (\theta_{\alpha} \neq 0) . \tag{7.9}$$

For fixed X a U(1) action on \mathbb{C}^{N+1} ,

$$\exp\left(a\tilde{X}\right)F\left(\mathbf{z}^{\dagger},\mathbf{z}\right) = F\left(\mathbf{z}^{\dagger}e^{-aX},e^{aX}\mathbf{z}\right). \tag{7.10}$$

The fixed point is found only at z = 0. The following relation then holds:

$$\int_{\mathbf{C}^{N+1}} \frac{\left[d\mathbf{z}^{\dagger} d\mathbf{z} \right]^{N+1}}{\pi^{N+1}} \exp\left\{ -\rho J_X \left(\mathbf{z}^{\dagger}, \mathbf{z} \right) \right\} = \frac{1}{\rho^{N+1} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \theta_{\alpha}} , \qquad (7.11)$$

which is trivial because of the Gaussian integral.

The second example is $(M,T) = (CP^N, T^{N+1})$: the symplectic structure is

$$\omega \equiv i \operatorname{tr} \left(P^{(N+1)} dP^{(N+1)} \wedge dP^{(N+1)} \right) = \frac{i}{1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}} d\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \wedge \left(\mathbf{1}_{N} + \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \right)^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\xi} ,$$

$$P^{(N+1)} \equiv \frac{1}{1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} & \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \ \boldsymbol{\xi} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{N} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \in \mathbf{C}^{N} ,$$

$$\left\{ \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\beta^{*}} \right\} = -i \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi} \right) \left(\delta^{\alpha\beta} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha^{*}} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\beta} \right) , \ \left\{ \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\beta} \right\} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha^{*}}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\beta^{*}} \right\} = 0 . \tag{7.12}$$

A T^{N+1} -action reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\xi}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}^N \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{C}^N \mapsto t \left(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{N+1} \right) \boldsymbol{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_{N+1})} \boldsymbol{\xi}^1 \\ \vdots \\ e^{i(\theta_N - \theta_{N+1})} \boldsymbol{\xi}^N \end{pmatrix} , \tag{7.13}$$

and the Hamiltonian action is

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} i\theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ i\theta_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{t} \quad \mapsto \quad J_X\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) = -i\mathrm{tr}\left(P^{(N+1)}X\right)$$
$$= \frac{\theta_{N+1} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \theta_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha*} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\alpha}}{1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\xi}} \in \mathbf{R} . \tag{7.14}$$

A vector field, for fixed X,

$$\tilde{X} = i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (\theta_{\alpha} - \theta_{N+1}) \left(\xi^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{\alpha}} - \xi^{\alpha*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{\alpha*}} \right) , \quad (\theta_{\alpha} \neq \theta_{\beta} \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta) , \quad (7.15)$$

generates a U(1) action on CP^N ,

$$\exp\left(a\tilde{X}\right)F\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger},\boldsymbol{\xi}\right) = F\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}e^{-a\hat{X}},e^{a\hat{X}}\boldsymbol{\xi}\right) ,$$

$$\hat{X} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} i\left(\theta_{1} - \theta_{N+1}\right) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & i\left(\theta_{N} - \theta_{N+1}\right) \end{pmatrix} . \tag{7.16}$$

The fixed point is found at $\xi = 0$. There are N other parameterizations in $\mathbb{C}P^N$ such that

$$P^{(\alpha)} \equiv U^{(\alpha,N+1)}P^{(N+1)}U^{(\alpha,N+1)\dagger}, \ U^{(\alpha,N+1)} \in U(N+1),$$

$$\left(U^{(\alpha,N+1)}\right)_{\mu\nu} \equiv \delta_{\mu\nu} - \delta_{\mu\alpha}\delta_{\nu\alpha} - \delta_{\mu,N+1}\delta_{\nu,N+1} + \delta_{\mu,N+1}\delta_{\nu\alpha} + \delta_{\mu\alpha}\delta_{\nu,N+1},$$

$$\alpha = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$(7.17)$$

and the fixed point, $\xi = 0$, is common in every case. Therefore

$$\int_{CP^{N}} \frac{\left[d\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}d\boldsymbol{\xi}\right]^{N}}{\pi^{N}\left(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{N+1}} \exp\left\{-\rho J_{X}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger},\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\right\} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \frac{e^{-\rho\theta_{\alpha}}}{\rho^{N}\prod_{\beta\neq\alpha}\left(\theta_{\beta}-\theta_{\alpha}\right)}.$$
 (7.18)

The third example is a case that does not fit the D-H requirement: $(M, T) = (T^2, T^2)$. The symplectic structure is

$$\omega \equiv d\psi \wedge d\varphi , \ p \equiv \left(e^{i\psi}, e^{i\varphi}\right) \in S^1 \times S^1 ,$$

$$\{\psi, \varphi\} = -1 , \tag{7.19}$$

a T^2 -action is

$$\left(e^{i\psi}, e^{i\varphi}\right) \in S^1 \times S^1 \mapsto t\left(\theta_1, \theta_2\right) p = \left(e^{i(\psi + \theta_1)}, e^{i(\varphi + \theta_2)}\right) , \qquad (7.20)$$

and the Hamiltonian $action^1$ is

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} i\theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & i\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{t} \mapsto J_X(p) = \theta_2 \psi - \theta_1 \varphi . \tag{7.21}$$

A vector field, for fixed X,

$$\tilde{X} = \theta_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} + \theta_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} , \qquad (7.22)$$

generates a U(1) action on T^2 such that

$$\exp\left(ia\tilde{X}\right)F\left(p\right) = F\left(t\left(a\theta_{1}, a\theta_{2}\right)p\right) . \tag{7.23}$$

The facts that there is no fixed point in (7.23) and that the integral is given by

$$\int_{T^2} d\psi d\varphi \exp\left\{-\rho J_X(p)\right\} = \frac{1}{\rho^2 \theta_1 \theta_2} \left(e^{2\pi\rho\theta_1} - 1\right) \left(1 - e^{-2\pi\rho\theta_2}\right) , \qquad (7.24)$$

clearly show that the D-H formula breaks in this case. The reason is that $\{J_X, J_{X'}\} \neq 0$, where $J_X(p) = \theta_2 \psi - \theta_1 \varphi$ and $J_{X'}(p) = \theta'_2 \psi - \theta'_1 \varphi$, does not meet the assumption 2.

The situations can be viewed from a different stand: the first example is nothing but a Gaussian integral and the second Hamiltonian is a perfect Morse function on $\mathbb{C}P^N$ (Even more it is a perfect Morse function[2]). Both Hamiltonians are invariant under U(1) transformation, $z \mapsto e^{ia}z$, $\xi \mapsto e^{ia}\xi$, which is closely related to the assumption 2. The third one is neither U(1) invariant nor a Morse function. Even if we adopt a Morse function as the Hamiltonian, the result does not fulfill the D-H theorem[6]:

$$\int_{T^2} \frac{d\psi d\varphi}{(2\pi)^2} \exp\left\{-\rho \left(a\cos\psi + b\cos\varphi\right)\right\} = I_0\left(\rho a\right) I_0\left(\rho b\right) , \qquad (7.25)$$

 $^{^{1}}J_{X}$ can be regarded as Hamiltonian locally, but not globally[12].

where $I_0(z)$ is the modified Bessel function. The difference between first two and the third example can be discerned much clearly by introducing new variables, $z_1 = |z_1|e^{i\psi}$, $z_2 = |z_2|e^{i\varphi}$, to rewrite (7.25) such that

L.H.S. of (7.25)
$$= \int_{\mathbf{C}^{2}} \frac{dz_{1}^{*}dz_{1}}{\pi} \frac{dz_{2}^{*}dz_{2}}{\pi} \delta\left(|z_{1}|^{2} - 1\right) \delta\left(|z_{2}|^{2} - 1\right)$$

$$\times \exp\left[-\rho\left\{\frac{a}{2}\left(z_{1} + z_{1}^{*}\right) + \frac{b}{2}\left(z_{2} + z_{2}^{*}\right)\right\}\right]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda_{1}d\lambda_{2}}{\left(2\pi\right)^{2}} \int_{\mathbf{C}^{2}} \frac{dz_{1}^{*}dz_{1}}{\pi} \frac{dz_{2}^{*}dz_{2}}{\pi}$$

$$\times \exp\left[-\rho\left\{\frac{a}{2}\left(z_{1} + z_{1}^{*}\right) + \frac{b}{2}\left(z_{2} + z_{2}^{*}\right)\right\}$$

$$+ i\lambda_{1}\left(|z_{1}|^{2} - 1\right) + i\lambda_{2}\left(|z_{2}|^{2} - 1\right)\right].$$

$$(7.26)$$

Further the right hand side of (7.18) can be expressed as

$$(7.18) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{C}^{N+1}} \frac{\left[d\mathbf{z}^{\dagger} d\mathbf{z} \right]^{N+1}}{\pi^{N+1}} \exp\left[-\rho \mathbf{z}^{\dagger} X \mathbf{z} + i\lambda \left(\mathbf{z}^{\dagger} \mathbf{z} - 1 \right) \right] , \qquad (7.27)$$

under these expressions we see that λ -integral brings trivial (flat) manifolds to nontrivial ones through the condition $\mathbf{z}^{\dagger}\mathbf{z} = 1$ which thus can be designated as the constraints.

Now the differences are

- the Hamiltonian of (7.27) is bilinear but that of (7.26) is not, and
- in (7.27) the Poisson bracket between the Hamiltonian and the constraint vanishes while in (7.26) they do not.

In (7.27) the Gaussian integral with respect to $\mathbf{z}^{\dagger}\mathbf{z}$ can be performed to be

$$(7.27) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda e^{-i\lambda} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \frac{1}{\rho \theta_{\alpha} - i\lambda} . \tag{7.28}$$

The role of the λ -integral is to sum up the contributions of residues to give

$$(7.28) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} e^{-\rho\theta_{\alpha}} \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{1}{\rho (\theta_{\beta} - \theta_{\alpha})}, \qquad (7.29)$$

which coincides with the result of the D-H theorem. The conditions of the saddle point in (7.27) are

$$\begin{cases}
(\rho X - i\lambda) \mathbf{z} = 0, \\
\mathbf{z}^{\dagger} (\rho X - i\lambda) = 0, \\
\mathbf{z}^{\dagger} \mathbf{z} - 1 = 0,
\end{cases}$$
(7.30)

whose solutions \mathbf{z}^c are the eigenvectors of ρX with the eigenvalues $i\lambda = \rho \theta_{\alpha}$'s (See (7.8)). Thus we find the poles in (7.28) originate from the critical points of the system.

Now apply this point of view to the case in the preceding sections, to wit, to the quantum version of the D-H theorem. For the sake of simplicity we concentrate on the compact case. The trace formula (2.29) can be given in terms of the oscillators (2.8) as

$$Z = \int \frac{\left[d\mathbf{z}^{\dagger} d\mathbf{z} \right]^{N+1}}{\pi^{N+1}} \langle \mathbf{z} | P_{Q} e^{-iHT} | \mathbf{z} \rangle , \qquad (7.31)$$

which becomes

$$Z = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda Q} \int \frac{\left[d\mathbf{z}^{\dagger} d\mathbf{z} \right]^{N+1}}{\pi^{N+1}} \langle \mathbf{z} | \exp\left[-i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \left\{ c_{\alpha} T - (\lambda + i\delta) \right\} a_{\alpha} \right] | \mathbf{z} \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda Q} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int \frac{\left[d\mathbf{z}^{\dagger} d\mathbf{z} \right]^{N+1}}{\pi^{N+1}} \langle \mathbf{z} | \left[1 - i \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \left\{ c_{\alpha} T - (\lambda + i\delta) \right\} a_{\alpha} \right]^{M} | \mathbf{z} \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda Q} \lim_{M \to \infty} \int_{PBC} \prod_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\left[d\mathbf{z}_{i}^{\dagger} d\mathbf{z}_{i} \right]^{N+1}}{\pi^{N+1}}$$

$$\times \exp\left[-\sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \left\{ z_{k}^{\alpha *} z_{k}^{\alpha} - e^{-i\varepsilon \left(c_{\alpha} - \frac{\lambda + i\delta}{T} \right)} z_{k}^{\alpha *} z_{k-1}^{\alpha} \right\} \right] . \tag{7.32}$$

Here ε is defined by (2.30) and the definition of the exponential function has been used from the first to the second line then the resolutions of unity (2.8) has been inserted into the third line to convert a_{α}^{\dagger} , a_{α} to c-numbers $z^{\alpha*}$, z^{α} . The important relation we have employed is

$$[H, P_Q] = 0. (7.33)$$

In (7.32) the integrals with respect to \mathbf{z}^{\dagger} , \mathbf{z} is Gaussian, as in (7.27), to give

$$Z = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda Q} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-ic_\alpha T + i(\lambda + i\delta)}}$$

$$= \lim_{\delta \to 0} \oint_{|w|=1} \frac{dw}{2\pi} w^{Q+N} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} \frac{1}{w - e^{-ic_{\alpha}T - \delta}}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} e^{-iQc_{\alpha}T} \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i(c_{\beta} - c_{\alpha})T}}, \qquad (7.34)$$

where the λ -integral has been transformed to the contour integral in the second line. Note that the w-integral picks up the N+1 poles to give the exact result.

Here we can recognize the role of the poles in (7.34) as the critical points as above: the equations of motion reads

$$\begin{cases}
z_k^{\alpha} - e^{-i\varepsilon(c_{\alpha} - \frac{\lambda}{T})} z_{k-1}^{\alpha} = 0, \\
z_k^{\alpha*} - e^{i\varepsilon(c_{\alpha} - \frac{\lambda}{T})} z_{k-1}^{\alpha*} = 0, \\
\frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} e^{-i\varepsilon(c_{\alpha} - \frac{\lambda}{T})} z_k^{\alpha*} z_{k-1}^{\alpha} - Q = 0.
\end{cases}$$
(7.35)

Therefore the solutions

$$z_k^{\alpha} = e^{-ik\varepsilon(c_{\alpha} - \frac{\lambda}{T})} z_0^{\alpha} , \qquad (7.36)$$

combined with the periodic boundary condition,

$$z_M^{\alpha} = z_0^{\alpha} \tag{7.37}$$

gives

$$\left\{1 - e^{-i(c_{\alpha}T - \lambda)}\right\} z_0^{\alpha} = 0 , \qquad (7.38)$$

or in the vector notation

$$\left\{1 - e^{-i(HT - \lambda)}\right\} \mathbf{z}_0 = 0 ,$$
 (7.39)

where H has been given by (2.27). $\mathbf{z}_0 = 0$ does not meet the third relation (constraint) in (7.35) thus the only remaining case is that \mathbf{z}_0 is the eigenvector of e^{-iHT} with the eigenvalue $e^{-i\lambda}$. There holds a complete analogy between the above "classical" (7.27) and its quantum version (7.32): the pole structure in the contour integral (7.28) or (7.34) corresponds to the eigenvalue equation (7.30) or (7.35). The former picks up eigenvalues of Hermitian operator while the latter does those of unitary operator.

Now we summarize our observation: classical system met with the D-H theorem could be generalized easily to a corresponding quantum counterpart if we regard the target manifold as the constraint system embedded in a (trivial) manifold. The situation would be if

- 1. Hamiltonian H is bilinear of creation and annihilation operators, and
- 2. constraint P is commutable with Hamiltonian, [H, P] = 0,

then the system is WKB-exact. The first condition is necessary; since there is a case which has no higher order corrections but might not match the exact result: for example $H = (\mathbf{a}^{\dagger}\mathbf{a})^2, P = P(\mathbf{a}^{\dagger}\mathbf{a})$ (Polynomial in $\mathbf{a}^{\dagger}\mathbf{a}$).

The generalization to more generic cases such as Grassmannian is now under investigation.

References

- J.J. Duistermaat and G. J. Heckman, Invent. Math. 69 (1982) 259.
 R.F. Picken J.Math.Phys. 31 (1990) 616.
 M.F. Atiyah, Asterisque 131 (1985) 43.
- [2] F. Kirwan, Topology **26** (1987) 37.
- [3] For example, S. G. Rajeev, S. K. Rama, and S. Sen, J. Math. Phys. 35 (1994) 2259.
 T. Lee, P. Oh, Phys. Lett. B319 (1993) 497.
- [4] M.S. Marinov, preprint TECHNION-PH-93-24.
- [5] For example, M. Stone, Nucl. Phys. **B314** (1989) 557.
- [6] E.Keski-Vakkuri, A.J. Niemi, G. Semenoff, and O. Tirkkonen, Phys. Rev. D44 (1991) 3899.
- [7] K. Funahashi, T. Kashiwa, S. Sakoda, and K. Fujii, preprint KYUSHU-HET-19, to be published in J. Math. Phys.

- [8] J.R. Klauder and Bo-S. Skagerstam, *Coherent States* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985).
- [9] A. Perelomov, Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986).
- [10] W. Zhang, D.H. Feng and R. Gilmore, Rev. Mod. Phys. **62** (1990) 867.
- [11] J. Schwinger, ON ANGULAR MOMENTUM in QUANTUM THEORY OF ANGULAR MOMENTUM (Academic press, New York, 1965).
- [12] R. Abraham, J. E. Marsden, Foundations of mechanics. 2nd edition (Updated 1985 printing) (Addison-Wesley, Canada, 1978), page 188.